UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,537	09/14/2005	Hans Vondracek	103020.59950US	4431
23911 CROWELL & I	7590 04/28/200 MORING LLP	9	EXAMINER	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP			YANG, JIE	
P.O. BOX 1430 WASHINGTO	0 N, DC 20044-4300		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1793	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/28/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/551,537	VONDRACEK ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JIE YANG	1793	
The MAILING DATE of this communi Period for Reply	cation appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	S
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum states are reply within the set or extended period for reply. Any reply received by the Office later than three months a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may unication. tutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mi will, by statute, cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this commun ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) file This action is FINAL . Since this application is in condition is closed in accordance with the practice.	2b)☐ This action is non-final. for allowance except for formal ma	· •	rits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18,21 and 24-44 is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) 26-44 is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18,21,24,and 25 is/are rej 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restrice	e withdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including 11) The oath or declaration is objected to	a) accepted or b) objected to objected to objected to objected to objected the object of the drawing and objected to object of the drawing and objected to object of the drawing and objected to object of the objec	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim to a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of the priority of the certified copies of	documents have been received. documents have been received in of the priority documents have been nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stag	le
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P' and Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	TO-948) Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application	

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Newly submitted claims 26-44 directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons:

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-18, 21, 24, and 25, drawn to a method for thermomechanical treat of steel rods.

Group II, claim(s) 26-44, drawn to a method for producing steel coil spring or stabilizers.

The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: they lack the same unity a posteriori because the common feature of "thermomechanical treat of steel rods" is known in the art. Bilgen (DE 19839383 used hereinafter with English equivalent US 6,458226, thereafter US'226) discloses a process for the thermomechanical treat of steel (Abstract, Summary of the invention section, Col.3, lines 31-59 and claims 1-2 of US'226). Invention I-II lacks the same or corresponding special technical feature. Therefore unity of invention is lacking and restriction is appropriate.

Art Unit: 1793

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 26-44 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Claims 19, 20, 22, and 23 have been cancelled; claims 1, 7, 10, 13, 15,16, 24, and 25 have been amended; the newly added claims 26-44 are withdrawn as non-elected claims; and claims 1-18, 21, 24, and 25 are pending in application.

Status of the Precious Rejection

Previous objections of claims 1 and 17 have been withdrawn in view of the amendment and remark filed on 1/8/2009.

Previous rejections of claims 1, 7, 10, 12, 13, 16, and 25 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention have been withdrawn in view of the amendment and remark filed on 1/8/2009.

The previous rejection of claims 1-18, 21, 24, and 25 under provisional obviousness-type double patenting over claims 1-17 of copending application 10/551538 have been withdrawn because 10/551538 has been abandoned.

The previous rejections of claims 1-15, 17, 18, 21, 24, and 25 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US'226 in view of Hathaway (US 2,261,878)

have been withdrawn in view of the amendment and remark filed on 1/8/2009.

However, in view of the amendment, a new ground(s) of rejection is made (see below).

The previous rejection of claim 16 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US'226 in view of Hathaway (US 2,261,878) and further in view of Borowikow (DE 100 30 823) has been withdrawn in view of the amendment and remark filed on 1/8/2009. However, in view of the amendment and newly found prior art, a new ground(s) of rejection is made (see below).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6, 8-18, 21, 24, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bilgen et al (DE 19839383 used hereinafter with English equivalent US 6,458226, thereafter US'226) in view of Hathaway (US 2,261,878, thereafter US'878) and Fritz et al (NPL "Fertibungs Technik" (Manufacturing Technology) 1995, and English translation for Fig.5-24 and 5-26, thereafter NPL-1).

Bilgen (US'226) in view of Hathaway (US'878) is applied to claims 1-18, 21, 24, and 25 for the same reason as stated in the previous office action marked 8/8/2008.

Application/Control Number: 10/551,537

Art Unit: 1793

Still regarding claims 1 and 25, US'226 in view of US'878 does not specify the limitation of skew rolling. However, skew rolling is a well-known rolling method which is evidenced by NPL-1 (as an Applicants administrated prior art-refer to the "Applicant arguments/remarks made in an amendment" filed on 1/8/2009; the Examiner notes that the Applicants provide the word explanation: phrase "skew rolling" is an English translation of German word "Schragwalzen" and in NPL-1, this phrase is translated as "cross rolling"). NPL-1 teaches skew rolling for the tubes and/or rods (English translation for Fig. 5-24 and 5-26 of NPL-1). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the well-known skew-rolling method as evidenced by NPL-1 in the process of US'226 in view of US'878 in order to obtain desired roughness of the product (English translation for Fig. 5-24 of NPL-1).

Claims 7 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US'226 in view of US'878 and NPL-1, as applied on claims 1-6, 8-18, 21, 24, and 25 and further in view of Borowikow (DE 100 30 823, thereafter DE'823).

Bilgen (US'226) in view of Hathaway (US'878) and further in view of DE'823 is applied to claim 16 for the same reason as stated in the previous office action marked 8/8/2008.

Claim 7 is amended to be dependent on claim 16. Regarding the limitation of skew rolling, which is the same limitation as discussed in the rejection for claim 1. Therefore, claims 7 and 16 are rendered obviousness over US'226 in view of US'878 and NPL-1, and further in view of DE'823.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-18, 21, 24, and 25 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Regarding the Applicant's arguments filed on 1/8/2009 with respect to claims1-18, 21, 24, and 25, which are related to the amended features in the instant claims. The Examiner's position is stated as above.

The Applicants argue that all claims of record in this application distinguish over US'226 in view of US'878 for claims 1-18, 21, 24, and 25 or US'226 in view of US'878 and further in view of DE'823 for claim 16 because no skew rolling step is disclosed. In response, the Examiner notes that "skew rolling" is a well-known rolling method which is evidenced by NPL-1 (as an Applicants administrated prior art—refer to the "Applicant arguments/remarks made in an amendment" filed on 1/8/2009; the Examiner notes the Applicants provide the word explanation: phrase "skew rolling" is an English translation of German word "Schragwalzen" and in NPL-1 this phrase is translated as "cross rolling"). The instant claims would have been obvious because a particular known technique was recognized as part of the ordinary capabilities of one skilled in the art.

Conclusion

Applicant's submission of an new reference on 01/09/2009 prompted the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 609.04(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jie Yang whose telephone number is 571-2701884.

The examiner can normally be reached on IFP.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on 571-2721244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/551,537 Page 8

Art Unit: 1793

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JΥ

/Roy King/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1793